

Programme Major Modification report

Section one

<p>Programme provider name:</p>	<p>University of Greenwich</p>
<p>In partnership with: <i>(Associated practice learning partners and/or employer partners involved in the delivery of the programme)</i></p>	<p>Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust Cornwall Partnership NHS Foundation Trust</p>
<p>Programmes reviewed: <i>This is the NMC programme title(s)</i></p>	<p><i>Pre-registration nurse qualification leading to</i> Registered Nurse – Adult <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Nurse – Children’s <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Nurse - Mental Health <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Nursing Degree Apprenticeship (NDA) route</i> NDA Adult <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NDA Children’s <input type="checkbox"/> NDA Learning Disabilities <input type="checkbox"/> NDA Mental Health <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Dual award - pre-registration nursing</i> Dual award - adult/mental health <input type="checkbox"/> Dual award - adult/children’s <input type="checkbox"/> Dual award - adult/learning disabilities <input type="checkbox"/> Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities <input type="checkbox"/> Dual award - mental health/children’s <input type="checkbox"/> Dual award - learning disabilities/children’s <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Current AEI programme title(s): <i>Please include the title of the programme(s) affected by this modification or add N/A if the modification doesn’t apply to a current AEI programme/route (for example new routes are being added that have a different title to those already approved)</i></p>	<p>BSc (Hons) Adult Nursing (Degree Apprenticeship) BSc Adult Nursing (Degree Apprenticeship) BSc (Hons) Mental Health Nursing (Degree Apprenticeship) BSc Mental Health Nursing (Degree Apprenticeship)</p>

<p>Modified AEI programme title(s) if applicable: <i>Please include new title(s) if current AEI programme title(s) are being modified as a result of this modification or add N/A</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Additional AEI programme title(s) if applicable: <i>Please include any additional AEI programme title(s) for approval as a result of this modification (for example new routes being added that have a different title to those already approved) or add N/A</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Academic levels of current programme:</p>	
<p>Registered Nurse – Adult</p>	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p>
<p>Registered Nurse – Children’s</p>	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p>
<p>Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities</p>	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p>
<p>Registered Nurse - Mental Health</p>	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p>
<p>NDA Adult</p>	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF</p>

	<input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11
NDA Children's	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11
NDA Learning Disabilities	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11
NDA Mental Health	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11
Dual award - adult/mental health	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11
Dual award - adult/children's	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11
Dual award - adult/learning disabilities	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11
Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11
Dual award - mental health/children's	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11

Dual award - learning disabilities/children's	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p>
Academic levels of modified/additional programme(s)/route(s):	
Registered Nurse – Adult	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
Registered Nurse – Children's	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
Registered Nurse - Mental Health	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
NDA Adult	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
NDA Children's	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p>

	<p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
NDA Learning Disabilities	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
NDA Mental Health	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
Dual award - adult/mental health	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
Dual award - adult/children's	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
Dual award - adult/learning disabilities	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p>

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Dual award - mental health/children's	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
Dual award - learning disabilities/children's	<p>England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7</p> <p>SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
Programme start date:	
RN – Adult	
RN – Children's	
RN - Learning Disabilities	
RN - Mental Health	
NDA Adult	20 September 2021
NDA Children's	
NDA Learning Disabilities	
NDA Mental Health	20 September 2021
Dual award - Adult/Mental Health	
Dual award - Adult/Children's	
Dual award - Adult/Learning Disabilities	
Dual award – Mental Health/Learning Disabilities	

Dual award – Mental Health/Children’s	
Dual award – Learning Disabilities/Children’s	
Date of modification:	10 June 2021
Type of modification:	Visit
QA visitor(s):	Registrant Visitor: Rachel Game

Section two

Summary of review and findings

The University of Greenwich (UoG) is an approved education institution (AEI). UoG school of health sciences is seeking a modification of their registered nurse degree apprenticeship (RNDA) programme originally approved on 30 November 2020. The modification is to add Truro and Penwith College (the college) as a satellite site for delivery of the RNDA adult and mental health Bachelor of science (BSc) Honours (Hons) four-year pre-registration nursing programme. UoG has an established partnership with the college who have delivered a nursing associate (NA) programme for UoG since June 2020. The college has expanded its academic staff provision for nursing programmes to accommodate the RNDA students. They are building a centre at Bodmin which will be purpose built for all healthcare students based at the college. The modification visit assured us that the college have sufficient staff and resources to deliver this programme.

The major modification visit is undertaken remotely during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Programme documentation and the modification process confirm evidence of partnership working between UoG, the college and key stakeholders. These include employer partners (EPs), service users and carers (SUCs) and students who contribute to the development and co-production of the programme. Partnership working is evident at both operational and strategic levels, with evidence of regular meetings and working groups during the academic year to ensure both theory and practice are delivered at a high standard.

Documentary evidence, EPs from Royal Cornwall Hospital NHS Trust and Cornwall Partnership Foundation Trust, SUCs and students confirm there's consultation about the proposed delivery at the college with all key stakeholders through scheduled stakeholder meetings. Documentary evidence confirms there are effective partnerships with EPs, and signed written placement agreements are in place.

The pan-London practice assessment document (PLPAD) will be used for the RNDA programme at the college.

The Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC), 2018) and the Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (SPNP) (NMC, 2018) are not met at programme level as a condition applies.

The Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018) are met at programme level.

The modification is recommended to the NMC for approval subject to one NMC condition. Two NMC recommendations are made.

Updated 9 July 2021:

Evidence is provided to meet the NMC condition.

The SFNME and SPNP are now met.

The programme is recommended for approval to the NMC.

Recommended outcome of the approval panel	
Recommended outcome to the NMC:	<p>Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Recommended to refuse approval of the programme <input type="checkbox"/></p>
Conditions:	<p>Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources:</p> <p>Condition one: The AEI must produce an implementation plan of how they intend to incorporate SUCs in the ongoing delivery, student assessment and evaluation of the RNDA programme. (SFNME R1.12, R5.14; SPNP R2.1)</p> <p>Selection, admission and progression:</p> <p>None identified.</p> <p>Practice learning:</p> <p>None identified.</p> <p>Assessment, fitness for practice and award:</p> <p>None identified.</p> <p>Education governance: management and quality assurance:</p>

	None identified.
Date condition(s) to be met:	9 July 2021
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	<p>Recommendation one: Strengthen inter-professional learning (IPL) in the academic aspect of the RNDA programme at the college. (SFNME R1.13, R3.16; SPNP R2.1)</p> <p>Recommendation two: Ensure practice supervisors and practice assessors are aware of the academic assessor role in the SSSA, student progression and how that fits with their assessment of students. (SSSA R4.3, R7.5, R7.9)</p>
Focused areas for future monitoring:	<p>Involvement of SUCs in the ongoing delivery, student assessment and evaluation of the programme.</p> <p>IPL in the RNDA programme.</p> <p>Practice supervisor and practice assessor awareness of the academic assessor role.</p>

Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met	
Commentary post review of evidence against conditions:	
<p>The programme team have provided documentary evidence of an action plan that details how they will incorporate SUCs into the ongoing delivery, student assessment and evaluation of the programme.</p> <p>Condition one is now met.</p>	
AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institution YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Summary of observations made, if applicable	Corrections are made to delivery date of the NA programme and the implementation of the SSSA at the college.
Final recommendation made to NMC:	<p>Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Recommended to refuse approval of the programme <input type="checkbox"/></p>
Date condition(s) met:	9 July 2021

Section three

NMC Programme standards
<p>Please refer to NMC standards reference points: Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (NMC, 2018) Future nurse: Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018) Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018) Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018) The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates (NMC, 2015 updated 2018) Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education (NMC, 2020) QA Handbook (NMC, 2020)</p>
Partnerships
<p>The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders.</p>
<p>Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:</p> <p>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)</p> <p>Standard 1: The learning culture: R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and co-produced with service users and other stakeholders R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working</p> <p>Standard 2: Educational governance and quality: R2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, service users, students and all other stakeholders R2.4 comply with NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation</p>

R2.7 ensure that service users and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

Standard 3: Student empowerment:

R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs

R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills

R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning

R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment

R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment

R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes

R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme

R5.14 a range of people including service users contribute to student assessment

[Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:

R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments

R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning

R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including service users, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, service users, students and any other stakeholders.

Documentary evidence and the modification visit demonstrate effective collaboration with SUCs, students and EPs in the development of the programme for delivery at the college. Evidence of partnership working with EPs, SUCs and students is provided in the programme documentation and confirmed through discussion with stakeholders present at the approval visit.

EPs and the senior managers from the college tell us about the rationale for the delivery of the programme. Due to the ageing workforce in the area, there will be a significant need to grow the nursing workforce within the next five years. Due to Cornwall being on a peninsula they have difficulty recruiting to registered nurse vacancies as people do not wish to relocate to the area. There is currently no provision in Cornwall for registered nurse education. The EPs confirm this programme will help them to develop their current workforce by increasing the number of registered nurses.

There are plans for ongoing partnership working between UoG and the college. The programme is delivered by staff at the college with developmental support from staff at UoG. Policies related to fitness to practise from UoG are used at the college. Recruitment and selection of students is in partnership with EPs and academic staff from the college.

The college have a group of four SUCs who support programme development; this group feeds into programme design, student recruitment and student induction. There are discussions related to SUCs to be involved in the delivery, student assessment and ongoing evaluation of the programme, but currently this has only been discussed and there is no current implementation plan for this. (Condition one)

The SUC we met are keen to be involved in sharing their stories with students and with co-delivery of teaching sessions. SUCs have developed a question to be used at student recruitment interviews. There's plans for SUCs to be involved in student recruitment interviews in the future.

Academic staff from the college will be academic assessors for students on the programme and they've completed academic assessor training developed by UoG.

The college have their own student support services available that are comparable to those available at UoG. Students at the college also have access to central student support services available at UoG. Students confirm that the support they receive is good and tell us that, although they are based at the college, they feel like UoG students.

EPs tell us that if they receive an adverse Care Quality Commission (CQC) report they inform UoG and the college. In collaboration they look at the issue that has been raised and if students' learning is at risk, students are removed until the risk has passed. An action plan is developed in partnership by the college and EPs to mitigate the risks.

Regular meetings are held that include representatives from UoG, the college and EPs. The purpose of the meetings is to discuss student feedback, individual student progress and concerns as well as placement planning, sharing best practice and planning future cohorts. At the approval visit EPs tell us about the value of these meetings in relation to the programme.

IPL is embedded within the programme at UoG. The college have NA students currently, and have recently been approved to teach operating department practitioner students (ODPs). This has led them to review how they can incorporate IPL within the RNDA programme, by organising some shared teaching with the NA and ODPs. The panel recommend that the programme team consider strengthening IPL within the theoretical delivery of the RNDA programme at the college. (Recommendation one)

Practice supervisors and practice assessors confirm partnership working with the college in relation to tripartite meetings, reasonable adjustments and managing student issues. When asked about the academic assessor, they appear unsure of the role and how it fits in with their assessment of students relating to progression. The panel therefore recommend that the programme team consider enhancing practice supervisors' and practice assessors' awareness and understanding of the

academic assessor role in the SSSA, student progression and how that fits with their assessment of students. (Recommendation two)

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#)

MET NOT MET

There are discussions related to SUCs being involved in the delivery, student assessment and ongoing evaluation of the programme at the college, but currently this has only been discussed and there's no current implementation plan for this.

Condition one: The AEI must produce an implementation plan of how they intend to incorporate SUCs into the ongoing delivery, student assessment and evaluation of the RNDA programme. (SFNME R1.12, R5.14; SPNP R2.1)

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#)

MET NOT MET

Post Event Review

Identify how the condition is met:

Condition one: The programme team have provided documentary evidence of an action plan that details how they will incorporate SUCs into the ongoing delivery, student assessment and evaluation of the programme.

Condition one is now met.

Evidence:

Final Truro and Penwith College and UoG SUC involvement action plan, 9 July 2021

Date condition(s) met: 9 July 2021

Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET

Student journey through the programme

Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R1.1 Confirm on entry to the programme that students:

R1.1.1 are suitable for their intended field of nursing practice:

adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing

R1.1.2 demonstrate values in accordance with the Code

R1.1.3 have capability to learn behaviours in accordance with the Code

R1.1.4 have capability to develop numeracy skills required to meet programme outcomes

R1.1.5 can demonstrate proficiency in English language

R1.1.6 have capability in literacy to meet programme outcomes

R1.1.7 have capability for digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes.

R1.2 ensure students' health and character are sufficient to enable safe and effective practice on entering the programme, throughout the programme and when submitting the supporting declaration of health and character in line with the NMC's health and character decision-making guidance. This includes satisfactory occupational health assessment and criminal record checks

R1.3 ensure students are fully informed of the requirement to declare immediately any cautions or convictions, pending charges or adverse determinations made by other regulators, professional bodies and educational establishments, and that any declarations are dealt with promptly, fairly and lawfully

R1.4 ensure the registered nurse responsible for directing the educational programme or their designated registered nurse substitute are able to provide supporting declarations of health and character for students who have completed a pre-registration nursing programme

R1.5 permit recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes, up to a maximum of 50 percent of the programme and comply with Article 31(3) of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in annexe one of programme standards document)

R1.6 for NMC registered nurses permit recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes that may be more than 50 percent of the programme

R1.7 support students throughout the programme in continuously developing their abilities in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes, and

R1.8 ensure that all those enrolled on pre-registration nursing programmes are compliant with Article 31(1) of Directive 2005/36/EC regarding general education length as outlined in annexe one in programme standards document.

[Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#), specifically R2.6, R2.7, R2.8, R2.10

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the [Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes](#) (NMC, 2018).

Proposed transfer of current students to the Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018).

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018).

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Evidence that selection processes ensure entrants onto the programme are suitable for the intended field of nursing practice and demonstrate values and have capability to learn behaviours in accordance with the Code. Evidence of service users and practitioners involvement in selection processes. (R1.1.1, R1.1.2, R1.1.3)

YES NO
- Evidence of selection processes, including statements on digital literacy, literacy, numeracy, values-based selection criteria, educational entry standard required, and progression and assessment strategy, English language proficiency criteria specified in recruitment processes (R1.1.4 – R1.1.7).

YES NO
- There is evidence of occupational health entry criteria, inoculation and immunisation plans, fitness for nursing assessments, Criminal record checks and fitness for practice processes detailed (R1.2)

YES NO
- Health and character processes are evidenced including information given to applicants and students, including details of periodic health and character review timescales. Fitness for practice processes evidenced and information given to applicants and students are detailed (R1.3)

YES NO
- Processes are in place for providing supporting declarations by a registered nurse responsible for directing the educational programme (R1.4)

YES NO

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- Evidence of recognition of prior learning processes, mapped against programme outcomes at all levels and against academic levels of the programme up to a maximum of 50 percent of the programme and comply with Article 31(3) of Directive 2005/36/EC (R1.5)

MET NOT MET

R1.5 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

- Evidence that for NMC registered nurses recognition of prior learning is capable of being mapped to the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes (R1.6)

MET NOT MET

R1.6 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

- Numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy mapped against proficiency standards and programme outcomes. Provide evidence that the programme meets NMC requirements, mapping how the indicative content meets the proficiencies and programme outcomes.

Ongoing achievement record (OAR) and practice assessment document (PAD) are linked to competence outcomes in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes. Detail support strategies for students throughout the programme in continuously developing their abilities in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes (R1.7)

MET NOT MET

R1.7 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Evidence of processes to ensure that all those enrolled on pre-registration nursing programmes are compliant with Directive 2005/36/EC regarding general education length (R1.8)

YES NO

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review

From your documentary analysis and your meeting with students, provide an evaluative summary to confirm how the *Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes* and *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme.

There are no students who will transfer onto the approved RNDAs programme.

Proposed transfer of current students to the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018).

From your documentary analysis and your meetings at the approval visit confirm if students will be transferring to the SSSA, and if so that they have informed choice and are fully prepared for supervision and assessment.

The SSSA was implemented at UoG and the college in 2020.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to selection, admission and progression are met

YES NO

Outcome

Is the standard met?

MET NOT MET

Date: 10 June 2021

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

N/A

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

MET NOT MET

N/A

Standard 2: Curriculum

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R2.1 ensure that programmes comply with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*

R2.2 comply with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment*

R2.3 ensure that programme learning outcomes reflect the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and each of the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing

R2.4 design and deliver a programme that supports students and provides exposure across all four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing

R2.5 state routes within their pre-registration nursing programme that allows students to enter the register in one or more of the specific fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities or children's nursing

R2.6 set out the general and professional content necessary to meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes

R2.7 set out the content necessary to meet the programme outcomes for each field of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing

R2.8 ensure that field specific content in relation to the law, safeguarding, consent, pharmacology and medicines administration and optimisation is included for entry to the register in one or more fields of nursing practice

R2.9 ensure the curriculum provides an equal balance of theory and practice learning using a range of learning and teaching strategies

R2.10 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with legislation which supports use of the Welsh language

R2.11 ensure pre-registration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice are mapped to the content for nurses responsible for general care as set out in Annexe V.2 point 5.2.1 of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document)

R2.12 ensure that all pre-registration nursing programmes meet the equivalent of minimum programme length for nurses responsible for general care in Article 31(3) of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document)

R2.13 ensure programmes leading to registration in two fields of nursing practice are of suitable length to ensure proficiency in both fields of nursing, and

R2.14 ensure programmes leading to nursing registration and registration in another profession, are of suitable length and nursing proficiencies and outcomes are achieved in a nursing context.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education specifically:

R1.9, R1.13; R2.2, R2.14, R2.15, R2.18, R2.19; R3.1, R3.2, R3.4, R3.9, R3.10, R3.15, R 3.16; R5.1 - R5.16.

Standards for student supervision and assessment specifically:

R1.2, R1.3, R1.7, R1.10, R1.11

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education* (R2.1)

YES

NO

R2.1 is not met. There are discussions related to SUCs being involved in the delivery, student assessment and ongoing evaluation of the programme at the college, but currently this has only been discussed and there's no current implementation plan for this. (Condition one)

There are discussions related to IPL being incorporated into the RNDA programme related to some shared sessions between the RNDA, NA and ODP students; these are yet to be organised. (Recommendation one)

- There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment* (R2.2)

YES NO

- Mapping to show how the curriculum and practice learning content reflect the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and each of the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R2.3)

YES NO

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.

- There is evidence to show how the design and delivery of the programme will support students in both theory and practice to experience across all four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R2.4)

MET NOT MET

R2.4 is met. Documentary evidence, the placement model and the modification visit confirm that the design of the programme supports students in theory and practice learning to experience all four fields of nursing practice.

The college have a placement team who work in collaboration with EPs to ensure students experience all four fields of nursing practice. Students tell us they have access to placements to enable them to experience all four fields of nursing practice.

There are reciprocal arrangements in place with the two EPs working in partnership to ensure students experience all four fields of nursing practice. The reciprocal arrangements mean that if one EP has limited practice learning opportunities or reduced capacity in a specific field of nursing practice, students can be allocated to practice learning with the other EP.

Placement capacity is taken into consideration when mapping of practice learning is undertaken for each student over the course of the programme. At the tripartite meetings staff will review a student's practice learning experience to ensure they

have access to all four fields. If there are gaps in the student's practice learning, UoG and the college will work together to find a suitable placement to ensure the student's needs are met.

- Evidence that programme structure/design/delivery will illustrate specific fields of practice that allows students to enter the register in one or more specific fields of nursing practice. Evidence of field specific learning outcomes and content in the module descriptors (R2.5)

MET **NOT MET**

R2.5 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There is evidence that mapping has been undertaken to show that the programme meets NMC requirements of the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* (R2.6)
- There is evidence that mapping has been undertaken to set out the content necessary to meet the programme outcomes for each field of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R2.7)

YES **NO**

YES **NO**

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.

- There is evidence that mapping has been undertaken to ensure that field specific content in relation to the law, safeguarding, consent, pharmacology and medicines administration and optimisation is included for entry to the register in one or more fields of nursing practice (R2.8)

MET **NOT MET**

R2.8 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

- The programme structure demonstrates an equal balance of theory and practice learning. This is detailed in the designated hours in the module descriptors and practice learning allocations. A range of learning and teaching strategies are detailed in the programme specification, programme handbook and module descriptors with theory / practice balance detailed at each part of the programme and at end point. There are appropriate module aims, descriptors and outcomes specified.

There is a practice allocation model for the delivery of the programme that clearly demonstrates the achievement of designated hours for the programme detailed. (R2.9)

MET **NOT MET**

R2.9 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Evidence to ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with any legislation which supports the use of the Welsh language (R2.10)

YES **NO** **N/A**

This programme is delivered in England in English only.

- Evidence that the programme outcomes are mapped to the content for nurses responsible for general care and will ensure successful students met the registration requirement for entry to the register in the adult field of practice (R2.11)

YES **NO**

- Evidence that the pre-registration nursing programme will meet the equivalent of minimum programme length for nurses responsible for general care in Article 31(3) of Directive 2005/36/EC (R2.12)

YES **NO**

- Evidence that programmes leading to registration in two fields of nursing practice are of suitable length to ensure proficiency in both fields of nursing (R2.13)

YES **NO** **N/A**

This programme doesn't lead to registration in two fields of nursing practice.

- Evidence to ensure that programmes leading to nursing registration and registration in another profession, will be of suitable length and nursing proficiencies and outcomes will be achieved in a nursing context (R2.14)

YES **NO** **N/A**

This programme leads to registration solely with the NMC.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to curricula are met

YES **NO**

There are discussions related to SUCs being involved in the delivery, student assessment and ongoing evaluation of the programme at the college, but currently this has only been discussed and there is no current implementation plan for this. (Condition one)

Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment relevant to curricula and assessment are met

YES NO

Outcome

Is the standard met? MET NOT MET

There are discussions related to SUCs being involved in the delivery, student assessment and ongoing evaluation of the programme at the college, but currently this has only been discussed and there is no current implementation plan for this.

Condition one: The AEI must produce an implementation plan of how they intend to incorporate SUCs in the ongoing delivery, student assessment and evaluation of the programme. (SFNME R1.12, R5.14; SPNP R2.1)

Date: 10 June 2021

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

Condition one: The programme team have provided documentary evidence of an action plan that details how they will incorporate SUCs into the ongoing delivery, student assessment and evaluation of the programme.

Condition one is now met.

Evidence:

Final Truro and Penwith College and UoG SUC involvement action plan, 9 July 2021

Date condition(s) met: 9 July 2021

Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET

Standard 3: Practice learning

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R3.1 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to develop and meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* to deliver safe and

effective care to a diverse range of people, across the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children’s nursing
 R3.2 ensure that students experience the variety of practice expected of registered nurses to meet the holistic needs of people of all ages
 R3.3 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to meet the communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures, as set out in *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses*, within their selected fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children’s nursing
 R3.4 ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment and pre-registration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice comply with Article 31(5) of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document)
 R3.5 take account of students’ individual needs and personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning including making reasonable adjustments for students with disabilities
 R3.6 ensure students experience the range of hours expected of registered nurses, and
 R3.7 ensure that students are supernumerary.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically:
 R1.1, R1.3, R1.5; R2.9, R2.11; R3.3, R3.5, R 3.7, R3.16; R5.1, R5.7, R5.10, R5.12
Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically: R1.1 – R1.11

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.

- Evidence that the practice learning opportunities allow students to develop and meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* to deliver safe and effective care, to a diverse range of people, across the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children’s nursing (R3.1)

MET **NOT MET**

R3.1 is met. Students at the college have practice learning opportunities with their EPs to allow them to develop and meet the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018). The college have a placement team who work in collaboration with EPs to ensure students experience all four fields of nursing practice. There are reciprocal arrangements in place with the two EPs working in partnership to ensure students experience all four fields of nursing practice. The reciprocal arrangements mean that if one EP has limited practice learning

opportunities or reduced capacity in a specific field of nursing practice, students can be allocated to practice learning with the other EP.

Spoke placements are organised by the placement unit at the college in collaboration with the EP. Students do not organise their own spoke placements. All RNDA students follow an identical placement model to ensure parity of experience. Each experience is mapped in advance to ensure proficiencies can be met.

- There is evidence of how the programme will ensure students experience the variety of practice learning experiences to meet the holistic needs of people in all ages. There are appropriate processes for assessing, monitoring and evaluating these practice experiences (R3.2)

MET **NOT MET**

R3.2 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

- Evidence that the practice learning opportunities allow students to meet the communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures, as set out in the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses*, within their selected fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R3.3)

MET **NOT MET**

R3.3 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

- Evidence to ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment and pre-registration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice comply with Article 31(5) of Directive 2005/36/EC (R3.4)

MET **NOT MET**

R3.4 is met. There's a simulation suite at the college; this is being expanded over the summer. A post has been created to oversee simulation at the college to ensure parity of resources and experience at both UoG and the college. Simulated sessions at the college will run with the same approach as UoG. The suite at the college is comparable to that of UoG, with the same equipment available as at UoG. A purpose-built centre for the delivery of healthcare programmes at the college is being built at Bodmin; this will open in March 2022. Digital technology is used throughout the programme and is equitable to UoG.

- There are processes in place to take account of students' individual needs and personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning including making reasonable adjustments for disabilities (R3.5)

MET **NOT MET**

R3.5 is met. The college follow the same procedure as UoG for making reasonable adjustments. Disability services at the college assess students and provide ongoing support. The college have full access to UoG support services. EPs ensure that the student has an occupational health assessment in their employer organisation, and any adjustments are communicated to the placement area and the college with consent from the student. Students tell us their individual learning needs are considered and they feel supported by the college and EPs with these.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Evidence of how programme is planned to allow for students to experience the range of hours expected of registered nurses (e.g., 24 hour care, seven days, night shifts planned examples) (R3.6)
YES NO
- Processes are in place to ensure that students are supernumerary (R3.7)
YES NO

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to practice learning are met
YES NO

Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment relevant to practice learning are met
YES NO

Outcome

Is the standard met? MET NOT MET

Date: 10 June 2021

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

N/A

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET

N/A

Standard 4: Supervision and assessment

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*

R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment*

R4.3 ensure they inform the NMC of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing the education programme

R4.4 provide students with feedback throughout the programme to support their development

R4.5 ensure throughout the programme that students meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes for their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing

R4.6 ensure that all programmes include a health numeracy assessment related to nursing proficiencies and calculation of medicines which must be passed with a score of 100%

R4.7 ensure that students meet all communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures within their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing

R4.8 assess students to confirm proficiency in preparation for professional practice as a registered nurse

R4.9 ensure that there is equal weighting in the assessment of theory and practice

R4.10 ensure that all proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which must demonstrate the achievement of proficiencies and skills set out in *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses*, and

R4.11 ensure the knowledge and skills for nurses responsible for general care set out in Article 31(6) and the competencies for nurses responsible for general care set out in

Article 31(7) of Directive 2005/36/EC for pre-registration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice have been met. (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document)

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically:

R2.11; R3.5, R3.6, R3.8, R3.11, R3.13, R3.14, R3.17;

R4.1, R4.2, R4.3, R4.4, R4.5, R4.6, R4.8, R4.11; R5.9

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R4.1 – R4.11

Findings against the standards and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- There is evidence of how the programme will ensure how support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*. (R4.1)

MET **NOT MET**

R4.1 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

- There is evidence of how the *Standards for student supervision and assessment* are applied to the programme. There are processes in place to identify the supervisors and assessor along with how they will be prepared for their roles. (R4.2)

MET **NOT MET**

R4.2 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There are processes in place to ensure the NMC is informed of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing the education programme (R4.3)

YES **NO**

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- There are processes in place to provide students with feedback throughout the programme to support their development. Formative and summative assessment strategy is detailed (R4.4)

MET **NOT MET**

R4.4 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

- There is appropriate mapping of the curriculum and practice learning placements to ensure throughout the programme that students meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes for their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R4.5)

MET **NOT MET**

R4.5 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 30 November 2020.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There is evidence that all programmes include a health numeracy assessment related to nursing proficiencies and calculation of medicines which must be passed with a score of 100 percent (R4.6)

	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Processes are in place to ensure that students meet all communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures within their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R4.7) 	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence of processes to assess students to confirm proficiency in preparation for professional practice as a registered nurse (R4.8) 	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is an assessment strategy with details and weighting expressed for all credit bearing assessments. Theory and practice weighting is calculated and detailed in award criteria and programme handbooks (R4.9) 	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is evidence that all proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which must demonstrate the achievement of proficiencies and skills as set out in the <i>Standards of proficiency for registered nurses</i> (R4.10) 	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence to ensure the knowledge and skills for nurses responsible for general care set out in article 31(6) and the competencies for nurses responsible for general care set out in article 31(7) of Directive 2005/36/EC for pre-registration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice have been met (R4.11) 	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> relevant to supervision and assessment are met	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> are met	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: 10 June 2021		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET

N/A

Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R5.1 ensure that the minimum award for a pre-registration nursing programme is a bachelor's degree, and

R5.2 notify students during and before completion of the programme that they have five years to register their award with the NMC. In the event of a student failing to register their qualification within five years they will have to undertake additional education and training or gain such experience as specified in our standards.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R2.11, R2.20

Findings against the standards and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- The pre-registration nursing programme award to be approved is clearly identified in all programme documentation and is a minimum of a bachelor's degree (R5.1)

YES NO

- Documentary evidence that the registered nurse responsible for directing the educational programme or their designated registered nurse substitute have advised students during and before completion of the requirement to register their qualification within five years of the award. (R5.2)

YES NO

Fall Back Award

If there is a fall-back exit award with registration as a nurse all NMC standards and proficiencies are met within the award

YES NO N/A

The exit awards with NMC registration meet all NMC standards and proficiencies in the field of practice being awarded.

The BSc (Hons) NDA exit award is BSc nursing (degree apprenticeship) (mental health or adult).

Assurance is provided that the <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> relevant to the qualification to be awarded are met		YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Outcome			
Is the standard met?		MET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: 10 June 2021			
Post event review			
Identify how the condition(s) is met:			
N/A			
Date condition(s) met:			
N/A			
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:		MET <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
N/A			

Section four

Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and consultation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programme specification(s) include fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Module descriptors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student facing documentation including: programme handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student university handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice assessment documentation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ongoing record of achievement (ORA)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice learning environment handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice learning handbook for practice supervisors and assessors specific to the programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Academic assessor focused information specific to the programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Placement allocation / structure of programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped against <i>Standards of proficiency for registered nurses</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education institution has met the <i>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</i> (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the <i>Standards for student supervision and assessment</i> (NMC, 2018) apply to the programme(s) (Gateway 2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education institution has met the <i>Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes</i> (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Curricula vitae (CV) for relevant staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CV of the registered nurse responsible for directing the education programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Registrant academic staff details checked on NMC website	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
External examiner appointments and arrangements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Written placement agreement(s) between the education institution and associated practice learning partners to support the programme intentions.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Written agreement(s) to support the programme intentions between the education institution and employer partners for apprenticeship routes (if applicable).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation:		
List additional documentation: Final Truro and Penwith College and UoG SUC involvement action plan, 9 July 2021		
Additional comments: None identified.		

During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups:

	YES	NO
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with responsibility for resources for the programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senior managers from associated practice learning partners with responsibility for resources for the programme	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Senior managers from associated employer partners with responsibility for resources for the programme (applicable for apprenticeship routes)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programme team/academic assessors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice leads/practice supervisors/practice assessors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Students	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study: Three x January 2020 year one NA Three x September 2020 year one NA		
Service users and carers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: As this is an apprenticeship route, only EPs attended the major modification visit.		
Additional comments: None identified.		

The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities during the event:

	YES	NO
Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Technology enhanced learning/virtual learning environment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Educational audit tools/documentation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Practice learning environments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If yes, state where visited/findings:		

System regulator reports reviewed for practice learning partners	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, system regulator reports list: CQC report, Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust, 26 February 2020		
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: This modification is to approve the college as a satellite site for the UoG RNDA programme. A resource check was undertaken remotely. There's no change to practice learning so no requirement to visit practice learning environments. Educational audit tools/documentation is unchanged from original approval on 30 November 2020.		
Additional comments: None identified.		

Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

Issue record

Final Report

Author(s):	Rachel Game	Date:	14 June 2021
Checked by:	Angela Hudson Pamela Page	Date:	16 June 2021
Submitted by:	Amy Young	Date:	22 July 2021
Approved by:	Leeann Greer	Date:	26 July 2021