

Programme Major Modification report

Section one

Programme provider name:	Kingston University (formerly and St Georges University)
Programmes reviewed: <i>This is the NMC programme title(s)</i>	Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150 <input type="checkbox"/> Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100 <input type="checkbox"/>
AEI programme title(s):	
Current AEI programme title(s): <i>Please include all currently approved programme titles</i>	Independent/supplementary prescribing for nurses and midwives (V300) (Standalone Module)
Modified AEI programme title(s) if applicable: <i>Please include new title(s) if current AEI programme title(s) are being modified as a result of this modification or add N/A</i>	N/A
Additional AEI programme title(s) if applicable: <i>Please include any additional AEI programme title(s) for approval as a result of this modification (for example new routes being added that have a different title to those already approved) or add N/A</i>	N/A
Academic level of current programme:	
Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11

	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Academic levels of modified/additional programme(s)/route(s):	
Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7

	<p>SCQF</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Level 11</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
Programme approval dates:	
Date of NMC approval of the programme being modified: <i>This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.</i>	22 July 2019
Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:	N/A
Programme start dates:	
Current modification programme start date:	
Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300	<input type="text" value="Date: 29 September 2022"/>
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150	<input type="text" value="Date"/>
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	<input type="text" value="Date"/>
Date of modification:	16 February 2022
Type of modification:	Visit
QA visitor:	Registrant Visitor: Neil Thomas

Section two

Summary of review and findings

Kingston University (KU), an approved education institution (AEI), present the independent and supplementary nurse prescribing (V300) programme for modification against the Standards for prescribing programmes (SPP) (Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC), 2018) and Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS) competency framework for all prescribers (RPS, 2021)) (NMC, 2018). The original V300 programme was approved by the NMC on 22 July 2019.

This modification visit is undertaken via remote means. Teaching and learning facilities at KU including simulation suites are viewed online in the format of pictures and videos, as is the virtual learning environment.

The AEI presents a modification to run the V300 programme at KU only, following the dissolution of a joint faculty with St. George's, University of London. There are no proposed changes to the programme learning outcomes, structure, delivery or assessment.

The V300 programme is delivered at academic levels six and seven. The current programme is delivered in a blended learning format in both part-time and full-time modes.

The Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018) continues to be met at programme level.

The Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018) continue to be met at programme level.

The major modification is recommended for approval subject to two university conditions. There are two NMC recommendations and two university recommendations.

Updated 30 March 2022:

The AEI provide evidence to confirm that the two university conditions are met.

The programme is recommended to the NMC for approval.

Recommended outcome of the approval panel

<p>Recommended outcome to the NMC:</p>	<p>Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Recommended to refuse approval of the programme <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Conditions:</p>	<p>Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources:</p> <p>None identified.</p> <p>Selection, admission and progression:</p> <p>None identified.</p> <p>Practice learning:</p> <p>None identified.</p> <p>Assessment, fitness for practice and award:</p> <p>None identified.</p> <p>Education governance: management and quality assurance:</p> <p>Condition one: Confirm that an external examiner has been identified and approached to facilitate timely approval and induction. (University condition)</p> <p>Condition two: Address the regulatory and documentary items identified in the addendum to the full validation report. This includes ensuring that clearly articulated programme learning outcomes are included in the programme specification documentation. (University condition)</p>
<p>Date condition(s) to be met:</p>	<p>30 March 2022</p>
<p>Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:</p>	<p>Recommendation one: Continue to strengthen the involvement of service users and carers (SUCs) in</p>

	<p>the design, development and delivery of the programme. (SFNME R1.12)</p> <p>Recommendation two: Consider how inter-professional teaching and learning can be strengthened in the programme. (SFNME R1.13)</p> <p>Recommendation three: Consider developing resources and additional materials in Canvas for students to engage with during their self-managed learning time, addressing a range of specialities. The team should consider directing students to use their reflections, case studies or their ongoing learning as a space where they would be able to bring their specialism to the forefront. (University recommendation)</p> <p>Recommendation four: Review scheduling and time management information to include the anticipated number of hours required to be spent on tasks during students' self-managed learning time. (University recommendation)</p>
<p>Focused areas for future monitoring:</p>	<p>To monitor the implementation of SUC involvement in the programme.</p>

<p>Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met</p>	
<p>Commentary post review of evidence against conditions</p>	
<p>The AEI has provided evidence from the chair of the approval panel which confirms the two university conditions are met.</p>	
<p>AEI Observations</p>	<p>Observations have been made by the education institution YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Summary of observations made, if applicable</p>	<p>The AEI advised that the programme title(s) needed to be amended.</p>
<p>Final recommendation made to NMC:</p>	<p>Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Recommended to refuse approval of the programme <input type="checkbox"/></p>

Date condition(s) met:	30 March 2022
------------------------	---------------

Section three

NMC Programme standards

Please refer to NMC standards reference points
[Standards for prescribing programmes](#) (NMC, 2018)
[Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber \(adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society \(RPS\) Competency Framework for all Prescribers\)](#) (NMC, 2018)
[Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) (NMC, 2018)
[Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) (NMC, 2018)
[The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates](#) (NMC, 2015 updated 2018)
[Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education](#) (NMC, 2020)
[QA Handbook](#) (NMC, 2020)

Partnerships

The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders.

Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:

[Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: The learning culture:

R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and co-produced with service users and other stakeholders

R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:

R2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, service users, students and all other stakeholders

R2.4 comply with NMC [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#)

R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes

R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation

R2.7 ensure that service users and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

Standard 3: Student empowerment:

R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs

R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills

R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning

R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment

R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment

R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes

R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme

R5.14 a range of people including service users contribute to student assessment

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:

R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments

R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning

R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including service users, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, service users, students and any other stakeholders.

Documentary evidence and the modification process demonstrate ongoing effective partnership working between KU and all stakeholders including SUCs, practice learning partners (PLPs) and students.

KU is part of the pan-London practice learning group (PLPLG) and therefore collaborates with other AEIs in developing its model for practice learning and student supervision and assessment.

Discussions at the visit evidence the nature of stakeholder involvement in relation to the proposed programme modification. Documentary evidence and findings from the visit confirm established and effective communication processes between PLPs and the AEI to manage recruitment and selection, fitness to practise, raising and escalating concerns and in maintaining and monitoring practice placement quality.

Discussions at the visit and documentary evidence confirm that KU have an implementation plan for the involvement of SUCs in all aspects of the programme. There's a newly established relationship between KU and the Baked Bean

company (a learning disabilities SUC group), but there are still decisions to be made as to the extent of their involvement in the delivery and evaluation of the programme. There's also a well-established SUC group that are currently involved in all aspects of the clinical reasoning module (pre-requisite module) but not the V300 programme. The programme team are planning to involve this group in all aspects of the V300 programme. The programme team are recommended to continue strengthening the engagement of SUCs in the V300 programme. (Recommendation one)

Current students confirm they receive support from the AEI and PLPs. They're aware of the mechanisms to raise concerns and provide feedback on their current programme. Students also speak highly of the support from the programme team and the well-developed learning facilities both physical and online that are available at KU.

The current programme is delivered to nurses and midwives without the involvement of other professional groups. On discussion with students, it's identified they're encouraged to work with other healthcare professionals in the practice setting, but it's also noted that it would be useful to have more interprofessional teaching and learning on the programme. The programme team are currently in discussion with the school of pharmacy and midwifery to look at integrating learning and teaching to strengthen the interprofessional learning on the V300 programme. (Recommendation two)

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#)

MET **NOT MET**

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#)

MET **NOT MET**

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met

N/A

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met

MET **NOT MET**

N/A

Student journey through the programme

Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R1.1 ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1), a registered midwife or a SCPHN before being considered as eligible to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme
- R1.2 provide opportunities that enable all nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN registrants (including NHS, self-employed or non- NHS employed registrants) to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme
- R1.3 confirm that the necessary governance structures are in place (including clinical support, access to protected learning time and employer support where appropriate) to enable students to undertake, and be adequately supported throughout, the programme
- R1.4 consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the [RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers](#)
- R1.5 confirm on entry that any applicant selected to undertake a prescribing programme has the competence, experience and academic ability to study at the level required for that programme
- R1.6 confirm that the applicant is capable of safe and effective practice at a level of proficiency appropriate to the programme to be undertaken and their intended area of prescribing practice in the following areas:
 - R1.6.1 Clinical/health assessment
 - R1.6.2 Diagnostics/care management
 - R1.6.3 Planning and evaluation of care
- R1.7 ensure that applicants for V300 supplementary/independent prescribing programmes have been registered with the NMC for a minimum of one year prior to application for entry onto the programme

Note: Education institutions and their practice learning partners may propose to transfer current students onto the new programme to meet the [Standards for prescribing programmes](#) and [Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber \(adoption of the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers\)](#). If so, evidence must be provided to support this proposed transfer as part of the education institution's mapping process at Gateway 3.

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the [Standards for pre-registration midwifery programmes](#) (NMC, 2019).

Proposed transfer of current students to the [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) (NMC, 2018).

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) (NMC, 2018).

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Evidence of processes to ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1), a registered midwife or a SCPHN before being considered as eligible to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme (R1.1) YES NO
- Evidence of selection process that demonstrates opportunities that enable all nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN registrants (including NHS, self-employed or non-NHS employed registrants) to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme. Evidence of this statement in documentation such as: programme specification; module descriptor, marketing material. Evidence of this statement on university web pages (R1.2) YES NO

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- Evidence that the necessary governance structures are in place (including clinical support, access to protected learning time and employer support where appropriate) to enable students to undertake, and be adequately supported throughout, the programme (R1.3) MET NOT MET

R1.3 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Processes are in place to consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the RPS *Competency Framework for all Prescribers* (R1.4) YES NO
- Processes are in place to confirm on entry that any applicant selected to undertake a prescribing programme has the competence, experience and academic ability to study at the level required for that programme (R1.5) YES NO

- Processes are in place to confirm that the applicant is capable of safe and effective practice at a level of proficiency appropriate to the programme to be undertaken and their intended area of prescribing practice in the following areas (R1.6):
 - Clinical/health assessment
 - Diagnostics/care management
 - Planning and evaluation

YES NO

- Processes are in place to ensure that applicants for V300 supplementary/independent prescribing programmes have been registered with the NMC for a minimum of one year prior to application for entry onto the programme (R1.7)

YES NO N/A

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review

*From your documentary analysis and your meeting with students, provide an **evaluative summary** to confirm how the [Standards for prescribing programmes](#) and [Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber \(adoption of the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers\)](#) will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme.*

The programme team confirm that existing students won't be transferred to the modified programme.

Proposed transfer of current students to the [Standards for student supervision and assessment \(SSSA\)](#) (NMC, 2018).

From your documentary analysis and your meetings at the approval visit confirm if students will be transferring to the SSSA, and if so that they have informed choice and are fully prepared for supervision and assessment.

The programme team confirm that the SSSA is already implemented in the current programme.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to selection, admission and progression are met

YES NO

Outcome

Is the standard met? MET NOT MET

Date: 16 February 2022

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

N/A

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

MET

NOT MET

N/A

Standard 2: Curriculum

Approved educations institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R2.1 ensure programmes comply with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*

R2.2 ensure that all prescribing programmes are designed to fully deliver the competencies set out in the RPS *A Competency Framework for all Prescribers*, as necessary for safe and effective prescribing practice

R2.3 state the learning and teaching strategies that will be used to support achievement of those competencies

R2.4 develop programme outcomes that inform learning in relation to the formulary relevant to the individual's intended scope of prescribing practice:

R2.4.1 stating the general and professional content necessary to meet the programme outcomes

R2.4.2 stating the prescribing specific content necessary to meet the programme outcomes

R2.4.3 confirming that the programme outcomes can be applied to all parts of the NMC register: the four fields of nursing practice (adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing); midwifery; and specialist community public health nursing

R2.5 ensure that the curriculum provides a balance of theory and practice learning, using a range of learning and teaching strategies

R2.6 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with any legislation which supports the use of the Welsh language

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (R2.1)

YES

NO

- There is evidence that the programme is designed to fully deliver the competencies set out in the RPS *Competency Framework for all Prescribers*, as necessary for safe and effective prescribing practice (R2.2).

YES

NO

Provide an **evaluative summary** from your documentary analysis and evidence **AND** discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- Evidence of the learning and teaching strategies that will be used to support achievement of those competencies (R2.3)

MET NOT MET

R2.3 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Evidence of programme outcomes that inform learning in relation to the formulary relevant to the individual's intended scope of prescribing practice (R2.4):
 - stating the general and professional content necessary to meet the programme outcomes
 - stating the prescribing specific content necessary to meet the programme outcomes
 - confirming that the programme outcomes can be applied to all parts of the NMC register: the four fields of nursing practice (adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing); midwifery; and specialist community public health nursing

YES NO

- The programme structure demonstrates a balance of theory and practice learning. A range of learning and teaching strategies are detailed in the programme specification, programme handbook and module descriptor with theory / practice balance detailed. There are appropriate module aims, descriptors and outcomes specified. (R2.5)

YES NO

If relevant to the review

- Evidence to ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with any legislation which supports the use of the Welsh language. (R2.6)

YES NO N/A

The programme is delivered in England only.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to curricula and assessment are met

YES NO

Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) relevant to curricula are met

YES NO

Outcome

Is the standard met?	MET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: 16 February 2022		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
N/A		

Standard 3: Practice learning
<p>Approved education institutions must: R3.1 ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and governance for practice learning are in place for all applicants including arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are self-employed</p> <p>Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must: R3.2 ensure that practice learning complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment R3.3 ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment R3.4 ensure that students work in partnership with the education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment</p>
Findings against the standard and requirements
<p>Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence to ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and governance for practice learning are in place for all applicants including arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are self-employed (R3.1). <p style="text-align: right;">MET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>R3.1 is met. Unchanged through this modification.</p>

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC standards for student supervision and assessment (R3.2)

YES NO

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- Evidence to ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment (R3.3)

MET NOT MET

R3.3 is met. Documentary evidence and visit discussions confirm that a range of learning opportunities are made available to students, including technology enhanced learning and simulation-based learning in the practice setting, alongside online teaching. Students and the programme team at the modification visit confirm the quality and range of facilities at KU and the learning opportunities that the programme provides.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Processes are in place to ensure that students work in partnership with the education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment* (R3.4)

YES NO

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to practice learning are met

YES NO

Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) relevant to practice learning are met

YES NO

Outcome

Is the standard met? MET NOT MET

Date: 16 February 2022

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

N/A

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

MET

NOT MET

N/A

Standard 4: Supervision and assessment

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#)
- R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#)
- R4.3 appoint a programme leader in accordance with the requirements of the NMC [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#). The programme leader of a prescribing programme may be any registered healthcare professional with appropriate knowledge, skills and experience
- R4.4 ensure the programme leader works in conjunction with the lead midwife for education (LME) and the practice assessor to ensure adequate support for any midwives undertaking prescribing programmes
- R4.5 ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is a registered healthcare professional and an experienced prescriber with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking
- R4.5.1 In exceptional circumstances, the same person may fulfil the role of practice supervisor and practice assessor for that part of the programme where the prescribing student is undergoing training in a practice learning setting. In such instances, the student, practice supervisor/assessor and the AEI will need to evidence why it was necessary for the practice supervisor and assessor roles to be carried out by the same person
- R4.6 ensure the student is assigned to an academic assessor who is a registered healthcare professional with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking
- R4.7 provide feedback to students throughout the programme to support their development as necessary for meeting the RPS competencies and programme outcomes
- R4.8 assess the student's suitability for award based on the successful completion of a period of practice-based learning relevant to their field of prescribing practice
- R4.9 ensure that all programme learning outcomes are met, addressing all areas necessary to meet the RPS competencies. This includes all students:
 - R4.9.1 successfully passing a pharmacology exam (the pharmacology exam must be passed with a minimum score of 80 percent), and

R4.9.2 successfully passing a numeracy assessment related to prescribing and calculation of medicines (the numeracy assessment must be passed with a score of 100 percent)

Findings against the standards and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- There is evidence of how the programme will ensure how support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) (R4.1)
MET NOT MET

R4.1 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

- There is evidence of how the [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) are applied to the programme. There are processes in place to identify the supervisors and assessors along with how they will be prepared for their roles (R4.2)
MET NOT MET

R4.2 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Evidence of programme leader being a registered healthcare professional with appropriate knowledge, skills and experience (R4.3)
YES NO
- Evidence of the programme leader working in conjunction with the LME and the practice assessor to ensure adequate support for any midwives undertaking prescribing programmes (R4.4)
YES NO N/A

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- Processes are in place to ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is a registered healthcare professional and an experienced prescriber with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking (R4.5)
MET NOT MET

R4.5 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Processes are in place to ensure the student is assigned to an academic assessor who is a registered healthcare professional with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking (R4.6)

YES NO
- Processes are in place to provide feedback to students throughout the programme to support their development as necessary for meeting the RPS competencies and programme outcomes (R4.7)

YES NO
- Processes are in place to assess the student's suitability for award based on the successful completion of a period of practice-based learning relevant to their field of prescribing practice (R4.8)

YES NO
- Processes are in place to ensure that all programme learning outcomes are met, addressing all areas necessary to meet the RPS competencies (R4.9). This includes:

 - successfully passing a pharmacology exam (the pharmacology exam must be passed with a minimum score of 80%), and
 - successfully passing a numeracy assessment related to prescribing and calculation of medicines (the numeracy assessment must be passed with a score of 100%).

YES NO

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to supervision and assessment are met

YES NO

Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) relevant to supervision and assessment are met

YES NO

Outcome

Is the standard met?

MET NOT MET

Date: 16 February 2022

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

N/A

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

MET

NOT MET

N/A

Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R5.1 following successful completion of an NMC approved programme of preparation, confirm that the registered nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN is eligible to be recorded as a prescriber, in either or both categories of:

R5.1.1 a community practitioner nurse or midwife prescriber (V100/V150), or

R5.1.2 a nurse or midwife independent/supplementary prescriber (V300)

R5.2 ensure that participation in and successful completion of an NMC approved prescribing programme leads to accreditation at a level equivalent to a bachelor's degree as a minimum award

R5.3 inform the student that the award must be registered with us within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to retake and successfully complete the programme in order to qualify and register their award as a prescriber

R5.4 inform the student that they may only prescribe once their prescribing qualification has been annotated on the NMC register and they may only prescribe from the formulary they are qualified to prescribe from and within their competence and scope of practice

Findings against the standards and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Processes are in place to ensure following successful completion of an NMC approved programme of preparation, confirm that the registered nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN is eligible to be recorded as a prescriber, in either or both categories of:

- a community practitioner nurse (or midwife) prescriber (V100/V150), or
- a nurse or midwife independent/supplementary prescriber (V300) (R5.1)

YES **NO**

- Evidence to ensure that successful participation in and completion of an NMC approved prescribing programme leads to accreditation at a level equivalent to a bachelor's degree as a minimum award (R5.2)

YES **NO**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Processes are in place to inform the student that the award must be registered with the NMC within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to retake and successfully complete the programme in order to qualify and register their award as a prescriber (R5.3) 	<p>YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Processes are in place to inform the student that they may only prescribe once their prescribing qualification has been annotated on the NMC register and they may only prescribe from the formulary they are qualified to prescribe from and within their competence and scope of practice (R5.4) 	<p>YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Assurance is provided that the Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to the qualification to be awarded are met</p>	<p>YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Outcome</p>	
<p>Is the standard met?</p>	<p>MET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Date: 16 February 2022</p>	
<p>Post event review</p>	
<p>Identify how the condition(s) is met:</p> <p>N/A</p>	
<p>Date condition(s) met:</p> <p>N/A</p>	
<p>Revised outcome after condition(s) met:</p> <p>N/A</p>	<p>MET <input type="checkbox"/> NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/></p>

Section four

Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and consultation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programme specification(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Module descriptors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student facing documentation including: programme handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student university handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice assessment documentation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice placement handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped against RPS <i>A Competency Framework for all Prescribers</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education institution has met the <i>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</i> (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the <i>Standards for student supervision and assessment</i> (NMC, 2018) apply to the programme(s) (Gateway 2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the programme meets the <i>Standards for prescribing programmes</i> and RPS <i>Standards of proficiency for prescribers</i> (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Curricula vitae for relevant staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Registered healthcare professionals, experienced prescribers with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme - registration checked on relevant regulators website	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Written placement agreements between the education institution and associated practice learning partners to support the programme intentions.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation:		
Documentation to evidence conditions met: Email from panel chair to confirm conditions met, 28 March 2022 Form C9 – chairs approval of conditions form, 29 March 2022		
Additional comments: None identified.		

During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups:

	YES	NO
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with responsibility for resources for the programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senior managers from associated practice learning partners with responsibility for resources for the programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programme team/academic assessors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice leads/practice supervisors/ practice assessors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Students	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study: Four students, V300 programme, March 2021 cohort		
Service users and carers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: Practice leads, practice supervisors, practice assessors and SUCs aren't required for this modification visit as the programme is approved and no changes are proposed through this modification.		
Additional comments: None identified.		

The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities during the event:

	YES	NO
Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Educational audit tools/documentation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice learning environments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If practice learning environments are visited, state where visited/findings:		
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: Visits to practice learning environments aren't required as part of this modification.		
Additional comments: None identified.		

Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied

upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

Issue record

Final Report

Author(s):	Neil Thomas	Date:	22 February 2022
Checked by:	Ian Felstead-Watts	Date:	1 March 2022
Submitted by:	Amy Young	Date:	11 April 2022
Approved by:	Leeann Greer	Date:	20 April 2022